

# ERASMUS+ DISP | TABELLE DI CONVERSIONE DEI VOTI

Registrazione degli esami sostenuti all'estero e tabelle di conversione dei voti ad uso delle studentesse e degli studenti del Dipartimento di Scienze politiche



**SAPIENZA**  
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

DIPARTIMENTO  
DI SCIENZE POLITICHE

**ERASMUS+**  
**DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE POLITICHE**  
**REGISTRAZIONE DEGLI ESAMI SOSTENUTI**  
**ALL'ESTERO**  
**DOCIMOLOGIA/GRADING SYSTEM**  
**TABELLE DI CONVERSIONE DEI VOTI**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A. European grading system	1
B. Italian grading system	2
C. Partner countries	6
<i>Austria</i>	6
<i>Belgium</i>	6
<i>Croatia</i>	7
<i>Czech Republic</i>	8
<i>France</i>	8
<i>Germany</i>	11
<i>Greece</i>	15
<i>Hungary</i>	15
<i>Latvia</i>	16
<i>Netherlands</i>	16
<i>Poland</i>	17
<i>Portugal</i>	18
<i>Romania</i>	19
<i>Slovenia</i>	19
<i>Spain</i>	20
<i>Sweden</i>	22
<i>Switzerland</i>	23
<i>Turkiye</i>	24
<i>United Kingdom</i>	26

## A. EUROPEAN GRADING SYSTEM

The ECTS scale is built according to the following rule:

- A) grade A means 'outstanding performance without errors' and it corresponds to the grades obtained by the best 10% of students, and it means;
- B) grade B means 'above the average standard but with minor errors' and it corresponds to the grades obtained by the next 25%;
- C) grade C means 'generally sound work with some errors' and it corresponds to the grades obtained by the next 30%;
- D) grade D means 'fair but with significant shortcomings' and it corresponds to the grades obtained by the next 25%;
- E) grade E means 'performance meets the minimum criteria' and it corresponds to the grades obtained by the final 10%.
- F) grade F means "Fail".

## B. ITALIAN GRADING SYSTEM

### ECTS

In the computation of the expected workload for each exam, please note the total equivalence (1 CFU = 1 ECTS) between the Italian CFU (*Credito Formativo Universitario*) system and the European ECTS (European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System).

### Grading System

Regarding the success of an exam and its corresponding grading, please note the different system between Italy and Europe. Incoming students planning to take some exams at Sapienza and then to come back to their own home institutions (for example, Erasmus incoming students) should refer to the home institution Grade Conversion Scale that will convert the Italian grading system, a 30-point scale divided in two: non passing (0 to 17), and passing grades (18 to 30 cum laude) into the home institution grading system.

## SAPIENZA GRADING SYSTEM

L-16 SCIENZE DELL'AMMINISTRAZIONE E DELL'ORGANIZZAZIONE/SCIENCE OF ADMINISTRATION AND ORGANIZATION

L-36 SCIENZE POLITICHE E RELAZIONI INTERNAZIONALI/POLITICAL SCIENCES AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

ITALIAN SYSTEM	ECTS
30 e lode	A
30	A/B
29	B
28	B
27	B/C

26	C
25	C
24	C/D
23	D
22	D
21	D
20	D
19	D/E
18	E
<18	F

#### LM-52 RELAZIONI INTERNAZIONALI/INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

ITALIAN SYSTEM	ECTS
30 e lode	A/B
30	B/C
29	C
28	C
27	C/D
26	D
25	D
24	D/E
23	E
22	E
21	E
20	E
19	E
18	E

<18	F
-----	---

LM-62 SCIENZE DELLA POLITICA/POLITICAL SCIENCES

ITALIAN SYSTEM	ECTS
30 e lode	A
30	A/B
29	C
28	C
27	C/D
26	D
25	D
24	D/E
23	E
22	E
21	E
20	E
19	E
18	E
<18	F

LM-63 SCIENZE DELL'AMMINISTRAZIONE E DELLE POLITICHE PUBBLICHE/PUBLIC SECTOR  
ADMINISTRATION

ITALIAN SYSTEM	ECTS
30 e lode	A/B
30	B
29	B/C

28	C
27	C/D
26	D
25	D
24	D/E
23	E
22	E
21	E
20	E
19	E
18	E
<18	F

# C. PARTNER UNIVERSITIES - ERASMUS+ Programme

## AUSTRIA

SALZBURG01  
WIEN01

National Denomination	Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
SEHR GUT	1	Excellent	A
GUT	2	Good	B
BEFRIEDIGEND	3	Satisfactory	C
GENÜGEND	4	Sufficient	D/E
NICHT GENÜGEND	5	Fail	F

## BELGIUM

ANTWERP01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
>17	Greatest distinction	A
15-16	Great distinction	B
13-14	Distinction	C
10-12	Sufficient (pass)	D/E
<10	Fail	F

BRUSSEL01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
-------	---------------------	------------



17-20	Excellent	A
15-16	Very Good	B
13-14	Good	C
11-12	Satisfactory	D
10	Sufficient	E
<10	Fail	F

#### BRUXEL04

Grade	English Translation
10-20	Sufficient (pass)
<10	Fail

In order to determine a corresponding threshold in the ULB grading system for each ECTS grade statistics are carried out based on the results of the jury grids of the 3 previous years. Only grades corresponding to learning activities successfully passed (greater than or equal to 10/20) or awarded for all sessions are taken into account in the calculation of the thresholds. The faculties set these thresholds per degree course. They are annually recalculated and published ahead of the academic year in which they will apply.

## CROATIA

#### ZAGREB01

National Denomination	Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
Izvrstan	5	Excellent	A
Vrlo dobar	4	Very Good	B
Dobar	3	Good	C
Dovoljan	2	Sufficient	D/E

Nedovoljan	1	Fail	F
------------	---	------	---

## CZECH REPUBLIC

BRNO05

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
1	Excellent	A
1,5	Very Good	B
2	Good	C
2,5	Satisfactory	D
3	Sufficient	E
4	Fail	F

## FRANCE

ANGERS01  
MULHOUS01  
PARIS001  
PARIS008  
RENNES28  
PARIS054

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
16 - 20	Excellent	A
14 - 15	Very Good	B
12 - 13	Good	C
11	Satisfactory	D
10	Sufficient	E

6 - 9	Fail	FX
0 - 6	Fail	F

LILLE102

Grade "à la française"	Sciences Po Lille, Skill Assessment	Italy
16.5 - 20	A	30 e Lode
16 - 16.4	A	30
15 - 15.9	B	28 - 29
14 - 14.9	B	27
13 - 13.9	B	25 - 26
12 - 12.9	C	24
11 - 11.9	C	21 - 23
10 - 10.9	C	18 - 20
8 - 9.9	D	1 - 17
0 - 8	E	1 - 17

LYON61

Sciences Po Lyon Grade	Sciences Po Lyon Definition	ECTS Grade
14 and over	Excellent	A
13.9 - 12	Very Good	B
11.9 - 11	Good	C
10.9 - 10	Satisfactory	D
9.9 - 0	Fail	F

MARSEIL84

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
-------	---------------------	------------

15 - 20	Excellent	A
12 - 14	Very Good	B
11	Good	C
10	Pass	D
7 - 9	Insufficient (re-sits)	E
0 - 6	Fail	F

NANTES01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
17 ≤ 20	Excellent	A
15 < 17	Very Good	B
13 < 15	Good	C
11 < 13	Satisfactory	D
10 < 11	Sufficient	E
6 < 10	Fail	F
n ≤ 6	Fail	FX

PARIS003

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade	GPA Score
16 - 20	Excellent	A / A+	3.7 - 4
14 - 16	Very Good	B+ / A-	3.5 - 3.7
12 - 14	Good	B / B+	3.0 - 3.5
10 - 12	Pass	C+ / B-	2.7
0 - 9	Fail	F	2.0 - 2.5

STRASB048

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade	GPA Score
-------	---------------------	------------	-----------

14 - 20	Excellent	A	4.0
12 - 13.99	Very Good	B+	3.33
11 - 11.99	Good	B	3.0
10.5 - 10.99	Fairly Good	B-	2.7
10.1 - 10.49	Satisfactory	C+	2.33
10	Sufficient	C	2.0
8 - 9.99	Poor	D	1.0
0 - 7.99	Fail	F	0

BORDEAU58

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
15 - 20	Excellent	A
14 - 14.9	Very Good	B
12 - 13.9	Good	C
11 - 11.9	Satisfactory	D
10 - 10.9	Sufficient	E
0 - 10	Fail	F

## GERMANY

BAYREUT01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
1.0 - 1.5	Very Good	A
1.6 - 2.5	Good	B
2.6 - 3.5	Satisfactory	C
3.6 - 4.0	Sufficient	D

5.0	Fail	F
-----	------	---

BERLIN13  
CHEMNIT01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS grade
1.0 - 1.5	Excellent	A
1.6 - 2	Very good	B
2.1-3	Good	C
3.1-3.5	Satisfactory	D
3.6- 4.0	Sufficient	E
4.1-5	Failed	F

BREMEN01 (Juridikum)

State Examination Grading Scale		Bachelor / Master Grading Scale	
Points	Definition	Grade	Definition
16 - 18	Very Good	1.0	Very Good
13 - 15	Good	1.3	Very Good
11 - 12	Fully Satisfactory	1.7	Good
10	Fully Satisfactory	2.0	Good
9	Satisfactory	2.3	Good
8	Satisfactory	2.7	Satisfactory
7	Satisfactory	3.0	Satisfactory
6	Sufficient	3.3	Sufficient
5	Sufficient	3.7	Sufficient
4	Sufficient	4.0	Sufficient
0 - 3	Not Sufficient	5.0	Not Sufficient

FRANKFU08

Note		English Translation	ECTS Note
Social and Cultural Sciences Business Administration and Economics	Law		
1.0	1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4	Excellent	A
1.3	1.3		
1.7	1.2 1.1	Very Good	B
2.0	1.0		
2.3	9		
2.7	8	Good	C
3.0	7		
3.3	6	Satisfactory	D
3.7	5		
4.0	4	Sufficient	E
5.0	3 - 0	Fail	F/FX

FREIBUR01

Grade	English Translation
1.0 - 1.5	Excellent
1.6 - 2.5	Good
2.6 - 3.5	Satisfactory

3.6 - 4.0	Sufficient
5.0	Insufficient

### REGENSB01

Grading System I (all faculties except Law Faculty):

Regensburg Grades in words	Grades in words	English Translation
1 = sehr gut	1.0 - 1.3	Excellent
2 = gut	1.7 - 2.0 - 2.3	Very Good
3 = befriedigend	2.7 - 3.0 - 3.3	Good
4 = ausreichend	3.7	Satisfactory
4 = ausreichend	4.0	Sufficient
5 = nicht genügend	4.3	Fail
5 = nicht genügend	4.7 - 5.0	Fail

Grading System II (Law Faculty):

Law Grade	National definition
18 - 16	Sehr Gut
15 - 14	Gut
12 - 10	Vollbefriedigend
9 - 7	Befriedigend
6 - 5	Ausreichend
4	Ausreichend
3 - 1	Mangelhaft
0	Ungenügend

Please note that UR is not using the ECTS grading scheme.

### TUBINGEN01



Grade	English Translation
1.0 - 1.3	Very Good
1.7 - 2.0 - 2.3	Good
2.7 - 3.0 - 3.3	Satisfactory
3.7 - 4.0	Sufficient
5	Fail

## GREECE

ATHIN01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS grade
10 - 8.5	Excellent	A
8.4 - 6.5	Very Good	B
6.4 - 5	Good	C
5	Minimum Passing Grade	D
4 - 0	Fail	F

## HUNGARY

BUDAPES01  
BUDAPES02  
BUDAPES54  
PECS01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
5	Excellent / Very Good	A / B
4	Good	C

3	Satisfactory	D
2	Sufficient	E
1	Fail	F / FX

## LATVIA

### RIGA01

National Definition	Grade	English Translation	ECTS grade
Izcili	10	With Distinction	A
Teicami	9	Excellent	A
Ļoti labi	8	Very Good	B
Labi	7	Good	C
Gandrīz labi	6	Almost good	D
Viduvēji	5	Satisfactory	E
Gandrīz viduvēji	4	Almost satisfactory	E
Neapmierinoši	3-1	Unsatisfactory	F

## NETHERLANDS

### OFFICIAL DUTCH GRADING SCHEME

Grade	English Translation	ECTS grade
10	Excellent	A
9	Very Good	B
8	Good	C
7	Satisfactory	D

6	Sufficient	E
5 - 1	Fail	F

## POLAND

KATOWICE01

KRAKOW01

TORUN01

WARSAW35

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
5	Excellent	A
4.5	Very Good	B
4	Good	C
3.5	Satisfactory	D
3	Sufficient	E
2	Fail	F / FX

WARSAW01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
5!	Excellent	A
5	Very Good	A
4+	Better than Good	B
4	Good	C
3+	Satisfactory	D
3	Sufficient	E
2	Fail	F / FX

# PORTUGAL

## BRAGA01

Grade	English Translation
20	Very Good with distinction and honors
18 - 19	Very Good with distinction
16 - 17	Good with distinction
14 - 15	Good
10 - 13	Sufficient

## LISBOA03

Grade	ECTS Grade
16 - 20	A
14 - 15	B
13	C
12	D
10 - 11	E

## LISBOA11

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
18 - 20	Excellent	A
16 - 17	Very Good	B
14 - 15	Good	C
12 - 13	Satisfactory	D
10 - 11	Enough	E
0 - 9	Fail	F

## ROMANIA

BUCURES 09

TARGU02

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
10	Excellent	A
9	Very Good	B
8 - 7	Good	C
6	Satisfactory	D
5	Sufficient	E
4	Fail	FX
3 - 1	Fail	F

## SLOVENIA

NOVAGO02

Grade	English Translation
10	Excellent
9	Very Good
8	Very Good
7	Good
6	Sufficient
5 or lower	Fail

## SPAIN

ALCALAH01

BILBAO01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
9 - 10 MH	Excellent with Distinction	A
9 - 10	Excellent	A
8 - 8.9	Very Good	B
7 - 7.9	Good	C
6 - 6.9	Satisfactory	D
5 - 5.9	Sufficient	E
<5	Fail	F

BARCELO02

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
10.0	Excellent (distinction with honour)	A+
9.0 - 10.0	Excellent	A
7.0 - 8.9	Outstanding	B
5.0 - 6.9	Pass	C
0 - 4.9	Fail	F

ELCHE01

VALENCIO1

Grade	English Translation
9.0 - 10	Distinction
7.0 - 8.9	Merit
5.0 - 6.9	Pass
0 - 4.9	Fail

GRANADA01  
MADRID01  
MADRID04  
MADRID14

Grade	English Translation
Matricula de Honor	-
9 - 10	Very Good/Excellent
7 - 8.9	Good
5 - 6.9	Pass/Satisfactory
0 - 4.9	Fail

MURCIA01

Grade	English Translation	ECTS Grade
9 - 10 MH	Excellent with Distinction	A
9 - 10	Excellent	A
8 - 8.9	Very Good	B
7 - 7.9	Good	C
6 - 6.9	Satisfactory	D
5 - 5.9	Sufficient	E
<5	Fail	F

This chart is merely illustrative. Conversion of grades and transfer of credits policies depend on each university academic regulations.

SANTIAGO01

Grade	English Translation	US Grade
10.00	Matricula de Honor	A+

9.00 - 9.99	Outstanding	A
7.00 - 8.99	Very Good	B
5.00 - 6.99	Pass	C
0.00 - 4.99	Failure	F

## SWEDEN

### FALUN01

Grading Scale	Swedish Grade	English Translation
Alternative I	G (Godkänd)	Pass
	U (Underkänd)	Fail
Alternative II	VG (Väl godkänd)	Pass with credit
	G (Godkänd)	Pass
	U (Underkänd)	Fail
Alternative III	5	Pass with distinction
	4	Pass with merit
	3	Pass
	U	Fail
Alternative IV	A (Framstående)	Excellent
	B (Mycket bra)	Very Good
	C (Bra)	Good
	D (Tillfredsställande)	Satisfactory



	E (Tillräckligt)	Sufficient
	F (Underkänd)	Fail

STOCKHO01

National and ECTS Grade	English Translation
A	Excellent
B	Very good
C	Good
D	Satisfactory
E	Adequate
FX/F	Fail

## SWITZERLAND

GENEVE01

UNIGE grades	English Translation	ECTS Grade
6 5.75 5.50	Excellent	A
5.25 5	Very good	B
4.75 4.5	Good	C
4.25	Satisfactory	D
4	Sufficient	E
<4	Fail	F

# TURKIYE

## ANKARA03

Letter Grade	English Translation	ECTS grade
A1-A2	Excellent	A
A3-B1	Very good	B
B2-B3	Good	C
C1-C2	Satisfactory	D
C3-D	Sufficient	E
F3	Fail	F

## ANKARA04

Points	Grade	Letter Grade	ECTS grade
90-100	4	AA	A
85-89	3.5	BA	A/B
80-84	3	BB	B
75-79	2.5	CB	B/C
70-74	2	CC	C
65-69	1.5	DC	C/D
60-64	1	DD	D
50-59	0.5	FD	D/F
0-49	0	FF	F

## EDIRNE01

Points	Grade	Letter Grade	ECTS grade
90-100	4	AA	A
80-89	3.5	BA	A/B
70-79	3	BB	B
65-69	2.5	CB	B/C
60-64	2.25	CC	C
50-59	2	DC	C/D
30-49	1	DD	D
0-29	0	FF	F

A final grade of minimum 60 is required to be considered successful in a course.

#### ISTANBUL21

Points	Grade	Letter Grade	ECTS grade
90-100	4	AA	A
85-89	3.5	BA	A/B
80-84	3	BB	B
75-79	2.5	CB	B/C
70-74	2	CC	C
60-69	1.5	DC	C/D
50-59	1	DD	D
49 and less	0	FF	F

FA- Failed due to attendance (class attendance mandatory generally 80%)

# UNITED KINGDOM

## GLASGOW01

Judgement shall be expressed in terms of the primary grades and secondary bands set out in

Schedule A, or in terms of the grades set out in Schedule B. Documentation relating to courses and

programmes shall indicate where Schedule A and Schedule B verbal descriptors shall apply.

### SCHEDULE A

Primary Grade	Gloss	Secondary Band*	Grade Point	Primary Verbal Descriptors for Attainment of Intended Learning Outcomes
A	Excellent	A1	22	Exemplary range and depth of attainment of intended learning outcomes, secured by discriminating command of a comprehensive range of relevant materials and analyses, and by deployment of considered judgement relating to key issues, concepts and procedures
		A2	21	
		A3	20	
		A4	19	
		A5	18	
B	Very Good	B1	17	Conclusive attainment of virtually all intended learning outcomes, clearly grounded on a close familiarity with a wide range of supporting evidence, constructively utilised to reveal appreciable depth of understanding
		B2	16	
		B3	15	
C	Good	C1	14	Clear attainment of most of the intended learning outcomes, some more securely grasped than others, resting on a circumscribed range of evidence and displaying a variable depth of understanding
		C2	13	
		C3	12	
D	Satisfactory <sup>†</sup>	D1	11	Acceptable attainment of intended learning outcomes, displaying a qualified familiarity with a minimally sufficient range of relevant materials, and a grasp of the analytical issues and concepts which is generally reasonable, albeit insecure
		D2	10	
		D3	9	
E	Weak	E1	8	Attainment deficient in respect of specific intended learning outcomes, with mixed evidence as to the depth of knowledge and weak deployment of arguments or deficient manipulations
		E2	7	
		E3	6	
F	Poor	F1	5	Attainment of intended learning outcomes appreciably deficient in critical respects, lacking secure basis in relevant factual and analytical dimensions
		F2	4	
		F3	3	
G	Very Poor	G1	2	Attainment of intended learning outcomes markedly deficient in respect of nearly all intended learning outcomes, with irrelevant use of materials and incomplete and flawed explanation
		G2	1	
H			0	No convincing evidence of attainment of intended learning outcomes, such treatment of the subject as is in evidence being directionless and fragmentary
CR	CREDIT REFUSED			Failure to comply, in the absence of good cause, with the published requirements of the course or programme; and/or a serious breach of regulations

\* The Secondary Band indicates the degree to which the work possesses the quality of the corresponding descriptor.

<sup>†</sup> This gloss is used because it is the lowest grade normally associated with the attainment of an undergraduate award. Undergraduate students should be aware that progress to most honours programmes require a grade above D in certain courses. Postgraduate students should be aware that on most programmes an average above D in taught courses is required for progress to the dissertation at Masters level. Students should consult the appropriate degree regulations and course handbooks for the grades they require to progress to specific awards.

**SCHEDULE B**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Gloss</b>	<b>Range of Mean Grade Points</b>	<b>Grade Points for Aggregation</b>	<b>Verbal Descriptors for Attainment of Intended Learning Outcomes Relating to Professional, Practical or Clinical Competence</b>
A0	Excellent	18 – 22	22	Exemplary and polished demonstration of the required skill(s), displaying underpinning knowledge, sound judgement and appropriate professional values, as evidenced by focussed sensitivity to the context, the needs of any subject, and the wider implications of the candidate's actions
B0	Very Good	15 – <18	17	Efficient and confident demonstration of the required skill(s), displaying underpinning knowledge, sound judgement and appropriate professional values, as evidenced by an evident appreciation of the possible implications of the candidate's actions, demonstrating initiative and flexibility of approach
C0	Good	12 – < 15	14	Clear demonstration of attainment of the required skill(s), displaying underpinning knowledge, good judgement and appropriate professional values, as evidenced by familiarity with how to proceed in a range of contexts
D0	Satisfactory	9 – < 12	11	Adequate independent performance of required skill, displaying underpinning knowledge, adequate judgement and appropriate professional values, suitable to routine contexts
E0	Weak	6 – < 9	8	Adequate independent performance of some but not all required skills. Some knowledge, judgement and professional values that indicate an awareness of personal limitations
F0	Poor	3 – < 6	5	Presently inadequate independent performance of the required skill. Knowledge, judgement and professional values are at least sufficient to indicate an awareness of personal limitations
G0	Very Poor	1 – <3	2	Wholly inadequate performance of the required skill, lacking in secure base of relevant knowledge and poor use of such knowledge, showing fundamental misunderstanding and misinterpretation. Evidence of poor judgement and professional values
H		0 – <1	0	Not presently capable of independent performance of the required skill, lacking self-awareness of limitations, and prone to errors of judgement and faulty practice
CR	CREDIT REFUSED			Failure to comply, in the absence of good cause, with the published requirements of the course or programme; and/or a serious breach of regulations